e-ISSN 3050-9637
p-ISSN 2795-3222

SOCIAL SCIENCE AND HUMANITIES RESEARCH
www.gphjournal.org
Open Access
Global Publication House International Journal

10.5281/ZENODO.17829786 VOLUME 08 ISSUE 11 NOVEMBER- 2025 ARTICLE ID: #2181

MAPPING SOCIAL FAULT LINES:
A SOCIAL STUDY OF POVERTY, INEQUALITY, AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH STRATEGIES FOR A NEW NIGERIA

By Author(s):

DR. AKHOGBAI EMMANUEL MONDAY* (Ph.D)
Arokho Secondary School, Arokho, Owan East LGA, Edo State.

Abstract

Nigeria's development trajectory over the past six decades has been characterized by vast human and natural resource endowments paradoxically coexisting with widespread poverty, entrenched inequality, and uneven socio-economic progress. This paper examines the structural fault lines that shape poverty and inequality in Nigeria and interrogates the persistent failure of growth patterns to translate into broad-based social welfare. Drawing from empirical data, interdisciplinary social-science literature, and global development frameworks, the study critically analyzes the economic, political, demographic, and institutional drivers of exclusion. It argues that Nigeria's growth model historically consumption-driven, resource-dependent, and structurally unequal has produced a development paradox where economic expansion coexists with worsening multidimensional poverty. Grounded in social-justice theory, political-economy approaches, and human-development perspectives, the article maps key vulnerabilities embedded in governance structures, regional disparities, class stratification, gender inequalities, youth unemployment, and the urban-rural divide. The study proposes a multidimensional agenda for inclusive growth rooted in institutional reform, equitable distribution, social protection, climate-responsive policies, human-capital investment, and participatory governance. It concludes that a new Nigeria requires not merely economic expansion, but a complete restructuring of development pathways that place human welfare at the center of national transformation.

Keywords

Nigeria; inclusive growth; inequality; poverty; social development.

How to cite: Akhogbai, E. (2025). MAPPING SOCIAL FAULT LINES. *GPH-International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, 8(11), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17829786

* Tel: +234 803 631 3876 | Email Address: eskedo2017@gmail.com

1. Introduction

Despite being Africa's largest economy and one of the most resource-rich nations on the continent, Nigeria remains trapped in a cycle of persistent poverty and widening inequality. Various statistical sources report that more than 130 million Nigerians live in multidimensional poverty, while income inequality has reached historic highs in the last decade (Adebayo, 2021; World Bank, 2022). This contradiction abundant wealth coexisting with mass deprivation constitutes one of the most striking development paradoxes of the 21st century.

This paper uses a social-study approach to interrogate these contradictions, mapping the social fault lines that shape economic exclusion in Nigeria. Rather than merely analyzing poverty as a material condition, this study explores its deeper social roots: class dynamics, policy failures, demographic pressures, weak institutions, and embedded structural inequities. The central thesis is that Nigeria's quest for inclusive growth requires a fundamental rethinking of development strategies, grounded in human-centered and justice-oriented frameworks.

To achieve this, the article adopts an interdisciplinary lens drawing from economics, sociology, political science, development studies, and public policy analysis. It integrates empirical evidence from surveys, national reports, and global development institutions while highlighting the lived realities of exclusion across regions and demographic groups.

2. Conceptual Foundations

2.1 Poverty

Poverty extends beyond insufficient income; it encompasses limited access to education, healthcare, living standards, dignity, and opportunities (Sen, 1999). Multidimensional poverty frameworks view deprivation as structural, chronic, and interlinked (Alkire & Foster, 2011). In Nigeria, poverty spreads across economic, social, cultural, and spatial dimensions.

2.2 Inequality

Inequality refers to the uneven distribution of income, wealth, access, and power across a population (Milanovic, 2016). Nigeria experiences vertical inequality (rich vs. poor) and horizontal inequality (ethnic, regional, gender-based). These disparities deepen social fragmentation.

2.3 Inclusive Growth

Inclusive growth integrates economic expansion with equitable distribution and broad participation in economic life (Rauniyar & Kanbur, 2010). It requires structural transformation, institutional reform, and social protection systems that ensure no group is left behind.

3. Literature Review

3.1 Historical Roots of Poverty and Inequality in Nigeria

Historical scholarship traces Nigeria’s socio-economic imbalances to colonial economic structures that privileged extractive industries and regional inequalities (Falola & Heaton, 2008). Post-independence development strategies reinforced these disparities through uneven industrial distribution and centralized resource control (Ayoade, 2010).

3.2 Contemporary Poverty Trends

Recent studies show that poverty is more concentrated in northern Nigeria due to lower human-capital investment, environmental challenges, and underdeveloped infrastructure (Mahmoud & Abdullahi, 2020). Urban poverty, though less visible, has intensified due to unemployment, high living costs, and precarious livelihoods (Akinyemi, 2019).

3.3 Inequality in a Resource-Dependent Economy

The “resource curse” thesis highlights how oil dependency reinforces elite capture, corruption, and uneven development (Sala-i-Martin & Subramanian, 2003). Nigeria exemplifies this trend: revenues enrich political elites while broader social indicators stagnate.

3.4 Gaps in Literature

Scholars agree on the scale of poverty but disagree on the mechanisms for inclusive growth. Few studies integrate political economy, climate challenges, demographic pressures, and social-justice frameworks into a unified model. This article fills that conceptual gap.

4. Mapping Nigeria’s Social Fault Lines

This section identifies the major socio-economic fractures that sustain poverty and inequality.

4.1 Regional Imbalances

Nigeria’s North–South divide is one of the most persistent structural disparities. The southern states exhibit higher literacy, life expectancy, and income levels, while the northern states experience chronic poverty due to historical neglect, insurgency, and environmental depletion (Mahmoud & Abdullahi, 2020).

4.2 Urban–Rural Inequality

Rural communities lack access to quality healthcare, electricity, safe water, and productive assets. Agricultural productivity remains low due to poor mechanization and insecurity. Meanwhile, urban centers experience overcrowding, slum proliferation, and informal labour expansion (Akinyemi, 2019).

4.3 Class Fragmentation and Elite Capture

Political elites in Nigeria control disproportionate wealth and influence. This elite capture distorts resource allocation, reinforces patronage, and undermines equitable growth (Ogundiya, 2011).

4.4 Gender Inequality

Women experience limited access to property rights, education, finance, and representation. Gender-based exclusion significantly reduces the country’s economic productivity and human-development potential (UN Women, 2021).

4.5 Youth Unemployment

Over 50% of Nigeria’s youth population is unemployed or underemployed (NBS, 2022). This demographic pressure fuels crime, migration, and instability, further discouraging investment.

4.6 Education and Skills Deficit

Educational inequalities create skills mismatch, low productivity, and massive learning losses. Millions of children remain out of school, primarily in conflict-affected regions.

4.7 Insecurity as a Barrier to Growth

Widespread insecurity; insurgency, banditry, kidnapping; destroys livelihoods, undermines investment, and displaces communities. Insecurity is both a cause and consequence of poverty (Agbiboa, 2021).

5. Drivers of Nigeria’s Poverty and Inequality

5.1 Over-reliance on Oil

Oil dependency produces growth without jobs, creating a dual economy where prosperity benefits only political and corporate elites.

5.2 Weak Institutions and Corruption

Poor governance, mismanagement, and corruption distort development priorities (Adewale, 2020). Corruption diverts funds from education, healthcare, and infrastructure—key drivers of inclusive growth.

5.3 Demographic Pressures

Nigeria’s population is projected to exceed 400 million by 2050 (UNDP, 2022). Without inclusive institutions, this growth will intensify unemployment, slum expansion, and social strain.

5.4 Climate Change and Environmental Degradation

Desertification, erosion, and flooding disproportionately hurt farmers, fishers, and rural communities (Buhari et al., 2018).

5.5 Limited Social Protection

Nigeria's social safety nets are weak, fragmented, and politicized, leaving millions vulnerable to shocks.

6. Evaluating Nigeria's Growth Trajectory

6.1 Growth without Jobs

6.1.1 The disconnect between GDP expansion and labour-market outcomes

Nigeria's aggregate GDP has shown periods of respectable expansion since the late 2010s and especially after the macroeconomic policy shifts of 2023–2024, but employment generation has lagged. The country's recent rebasing of GDP and subsequent revisions have increased the measured size of the economy, yet labour-market indicators reveal continued vulnerability rising unemployment pockets, persistent under-employment, and chronic informality (National Bureau of Statistics, 2023; World Bank, 2025). The World Bank and NBS agree that aggregate output growth has not translated into proportional increases in formal-sector employment, producing what can be characterized as "jobless growth" in many episodes.

6.1.2 Empirical evidence: unemployment, underemployment, and youth precarity

Recent Nigerian Labour Force Surveys (NBS) document modest headline unemployment rates (fluctuating around 4–6% in several quarterly series), but these figures conceal significant underemployment and vulnerable labour conditions. Time-related underemployment and the share of workers in precarious or informal wage arrangements remain high (NBS Labour Force Survey, Q2–Q3 2023). Youth unemployment and youth underutilization are particularly acute—young people (15–24) exhibit substantially higher unemployment and underemployment rates than the population average, raising both equity and stability concerns (NBS, 2023). The National Bureau of Statistics reports time-related underemployment and rising unemployment in multiple quarters, suggesting that many households substitute longer working hours or multiple informal jobs for real wage growth and security.

6.1.3 Sectoral composition: growth concentrated in non-labour-intensive activities

A central reason for the weak employment response is the sectoral composition of growth. Registered expansion has been concentrated in sectors that are either capital-intensive (certain segments of oil and gas and extractive services) or have low formal employment multipliers (some finance and tertiary services), while manufacturing the classic engine for mass employment has not expanded sufficiently in ways that absorb large numbers of semi-skilled and unskilled workers (World Bank; NBS). Recent policy reforms and higher non-oil growth have improved headline growth, but they have not yet produced the structural transformation required for large-scale formal job creation.

6.1.4 Labour-market quality: wages, informality, and under-provision of social protections

Even among those employed, the quality of work is frequently low. Informal firms and household enterprises dominate employment, offering limited social protection, unstable incomes, and weak pathways for career progression. The persistence of informal jobs means that improvements in GDP per capita are often captured by a narrow formal elite while the majority see little enhancement in living standards—hence the paradox of growth without inclusive improvements in welfare. This is reinforced by the very high share of household consumption devoted to food among poorer deciles, which makes them highly exposed to inflation shocks and reduces effective demand for non-essential labour-intensive sectors. (World Bank; NBS).

6.2 Inequitable distribution of public goods

6.2.1 Spatially uneven public-good provision and regional divergence

Nigeria’s public-goods landscape is highly uneven. Infrastructure (roads, electricity, piped water), educational services, healthcare provision, and digital connectivity are concentrated in particular regions and urban centres, leaving wide swathes particularly in the North and rural areas chronically underserved. This spatial bias propagates inequality by constraining productive opportunities for communities that lack market access, social services, and public investment. Regional MPI (multidimensional poverty) analyses make clear that northern states account for a disproportionate share of multidimensional deprivation (National MPI Survey, 2022).

6.2.2 Fiscal allocation, governance, and the politics of distribution

Fiscal politics and governance practices shape public-good distribution. Revenue centralization, weak conditional transfers, and opaque capital budgeting often produce projects that favour politically connected constituencies rather than poverty-reducing investments in lagging regions. The recent improvements in revenue collection reported by national authorities have not yet translated consistently into pro-poor, spatially balanced public spending (World Bank country diagnostics). Until budgetary processes and public financial management more explicitly prioritise equity and transparent resource targeting, disparities in service access will persist.

6.2.3 Social protection fragmentation and coverage gaps

Nigeria’s social-protection architecture is fragmented composed of multiple, sometimes duplicative, programmes with limited coverage of the poorest. Large-scale instruments such as unconditional cash transfers remain underfunded and politically conditional, while contributory schemes (pensions, social insurance) exclude informal workers who form the majority of the labour force. This coverage gap reduces the stabilising, crowding-in effects of social protection that can underpin inclusive demand and support human-capital accumulation. The absence of coherent, nationwide social protection programs weakens the economy's capacity to convert growth into poverty reduction.

6.2.4 Public-good distribution and long-term human capital formation

Unequal access to quality education and healthcare translates into persistent human-capital deficits. Regions with weak schooling infrastructure and high out-of-school rates produce cohorts with lower skill levels, perpetuating a cycle of low productivity, limited formal employment prospects, and intergenerational poverty. The consequence is a bifurcated labour force small segments with competitive skills and a large pool with limited productive potential undermining inclusive growth even in years of positive GDP performance.

6.3 Weak industrialization

6.3.1 Manufacturing's limited share and fragile dynamics

Nigeria's manufacturing sector has not attained the scale required to function as a robust engine of inclusive transformation. Data series show that the manufacturing value-added share of GDP has fluctuated and despite recent nominal increases tied partly to statistical rebasing remains modest relative to the share required for mass employment creation (World Bank; NBS data). The sector's fragility is exposed by frequent declines in output in response to macroeconomic shocks, exchange-rate volatility, and high input costs, and by the economy's reliance on a narrow set of unprocessed commodity exports.

6.3.2 Structural constraints: costs, logistics, and energy insecurity

Several binding constraints inhibit industrial expansion in Nigeria. High and unpredictable energy costs, frequent power outages, weak logistics and transport networks, limited access to long-term finance, and high trade costs create an adverse business environment for manufacturing firms. These structural problems raise the unit cost of local production, shrink margins, and deter both domestic and foreign manufacturing investment. The recent policy decision to ban certain raw exports (e.g., raw shea nuts) signals intent to pursue value-addition, yet such measures will only succeed if complemented by investments in processing capacity, industrial finance, and infrastructure.

6.3.3 Technology, skills, and the productivity gap

Industrial upgrading requires sustained investments in human capital, technical training, and technology diffusion. Nigeria's current skills base constrained by educational inequalities and inadequate TVET (technical and vocational education and training) capacity limits firms' ability to adopt modern production methods and scale medium-to-high value-added manufacturing. Without targeted policies linking education reform, apprenticeships, and industry collateralised financing, manufacturing's capacity to absorb labour and climb the value chain remains constrained.

6.3.4 Informality and the missing middle

The manufacturing landscape in Nigeria is heavily skewed toward micro and small enterprises operating informally, with very few mid-sized firms that can drive scalable employment and exports. This "missing middle" undermines productivity convergence: micro firms rarely invest in modern equipment, quality assurance, or export compliance, while large firms avoid labour-intensive segments where small enterprises could otherwise grow. Policies that reduce the cost of formalisation (streamlined registration, tax simplification, access to affordable finance) and that incentivize firm growth into medium scale should be central to any industrial strategy aimed at inclusive growth.

6.4 Synthesis: Why measured growth has not been inclusive

Bringing together the three strands above leads to a simple but consequential conclusion: measured GDP growth in Nigeria has often been shallow that is, it expands the nominal economy without changing the underlying structure of production, the quality of jobs, or the distribution of public goods in ways that reduce mass deprivation. This outcome is a function of (a) growth concentrated in low-employment or capital-intensive segments; (b) political-fiscal arrangements that direct public investments away from lagging regions and human capital; and (c) a weak industrial base that fails to generate wage employment at scale.

Recent macroeconomic reforms and the statistical rebasing of the national accounts (which increased the recorded size of the economy substantially) provide useful context: while rebasing offers a more accurate depiction of economic structure (including recognition of digital and informal activities), it does not in itself change the lived reality of inequality and poverty without concurrent structural reforms (Financial Times; World Bank).

6.5 Implications for policy and research

1. **Employment-focused growth metrics.** Policy must supplement GDP targets with explicit employment, underemployment, and quality-of-work indicators (for example, formal wage employment, time-related underemployment, and sectoral job multipliers) so that growth strategies are evaluated by their capacity to generate decent work. (NBS; World Bank).
2. **Pro-poor fiscal reorientation.** Fiscal policy should prioritise capital spending and recurrent transfers that target infrastructure, schooling, and health in lagging regions investments with high local multiplier effects for employment and productivity. (World Bank country diagnostics).
3. **Industrial policy with labour absorption as an objective.** Industrial policy must explicitly focus on labour-intensive value chains (agro-processing, light manufacturing, building materials, textiles), supported by energy reliability, logistics upgrades, and access to patient capital. Measures must also bridge the "missing middle" by easing formalisation and scaling mid-sized firm growth. (UNIDO; NBS).
4. **Integrate social protection with growth programs.** Scalable cash transfers, public-works programmes, and skill-training schemes should be integrated into national

development plans to buttress demand, support structural transformation, and protect vulnerable households during reform. (World Bank).

5. **Data and evaluation.** Continued investment in high-frequency labour and household surveys will be essential to monitor whether reforms convert GDP growth into broad-based welfare gains. The 2022 Multidimensional Poverty Index offers a baseline, but granular, regionally disaggregated time-series are required to assess impact.

7. Toward a Framework for Inclusive Growth: Lessons from Social Studies

This section presents a theory-driven model grounded in human-centered development.

7.1 Human Development as a Foundation

Growth must prioritize health, education, skills, and welfare key pillars of human progress (Sen, 1999).

7.2 Social Justice and Redistribution

Redistribution through progressive taxation, social protection, and public investment is crucial for reducing inequality.

7.3 Gender-Inclusive Policies

Empowering women boosts national development through higher labour participation and increased household welfare.

7.4 Youth-Centric Development

Investing in youth skills, entrepreneurship, and employment pathways is essential for long-term social stability.

7.5 Environmental and Climate Justice

Sustainable growth requires climate-resilient infrastructure, ecological restoration, and green-economy investment.

7.6 Participatory Governance

Communities must play active roles in budgeting, planning, and policy implementation.

8. Strategies for Revamping Inclusive Growth in a New Nigeria

8.1 Institutional Reform and Anti-Corruption Measures

Strengthening public institutions ensures fair resource distribution and policy effectiveness.

8.2 Diversification Beyond Oil

Agriculture, manufacturing, services, digital economy, and creative industries offer inclusive job creation.

8.3 Expanding Social Protection Systems

Implementing universal health coverage, unemployment insurance, and cash transfers reduces vulnerability.

8.4 Quality Education and Skills Development

Reforming curricula, improving teacher quality, and investing in STEM and TVET programs builds a competitive workforce.

8.5 Infrastructure for Equity

Investing in rural roads, electrification, and digital connectivity bridges spatial inequalities.

8.6 Inclusive Financial Systems

Access to credit, digital financial inclusion, and cooperative financing support small businesses.

8.7 Climate-Smart Development

Adopting sustainable agricultural practices, renewable energy, and disaster-risk management mitigates climate inequality.

9. Policy Recommendations

- Implement a national inclusive-growth blueprint anchored in human development.
- Introduce progressive tax reforms to fund public welfare and reduce inequality.
- Strengthen anti-corruption agencies and transparency mechanisms.
- Prioritize rural infrastructure to reduce spatial poverty.
- Expand universal social protection including pensions, health insurance, and child benefits.
- Invest in human capital through education and health sector reform.
- Promote gender equality in labour participation, land rights, and political representation.
- Develop climate-sensitive policies tailored to regional vulnerabilities.
- Create youth-centered employment pathways in technology, agriculture, renewable energy, and manufacturing.
- Enhance security architecture to protect economic activities and support stability.

10. Conclusion

Mapping Nigeria's social fault lines reveals that poverty and inequality are not merely economic outcomes; they are deeply embedded in governance failures, regional disparities, demographic pressures, and complex social structures. Inclusive growth—if genuinely pursued—must address these foundational inequities rather than treating poverty as a technical economic issue.

The path to a new Nigeria lies in structural transformation, human-centered development, participatory governance, social justice, climate adaptation, and institutional reform. Only by placing people at the heart of national development can Nigeria move from exclusion to shared prosperity.

REFERENCES

Adebayo, A. (2021). Poverty and welfare in Nigeria: A multidimensional analysis. Journal of African Development Studies, 12(3), 44–62.

Adewale, B. (2020). Corruption and governance failure in Nigeria’s public sector. African Governance Review, 8(2), 55–73.

Agbiboa, D. (2021). Insecurity and livelihoods in Nigeria: Rethinking state capacity. African Affairs, 120(480), 102–123.

Akinyemi, A. (2019). Urban poverty and informal labour in metropolitan Lagos. Urban Social Science Review, 14(1), 77–98.

Alkire, S., & Foster, J. (2011). Counting and multidimensional poverty measurement. Journal of Public Economics, 95(7), 476–487.

Ayoade, J. (2010). Political structure and regional inequality in Nigeria. Nigerian Political Science Journal, 5(2), 22–41.

Buhari, M., Idris, U., & Danladi, A. (2018). Climate change and rural vulnerability in northern Nigeria. Journal of Environmental Studies, 12(4), 55–71.

Falola, T., & Heaton, M. (2008). A history of Nigeria. Cambridge University Press.

Mahmoud, H., & Abdullahi, M. (2020). Regional poverty patterns in Nigeria. Journal of Development Research, 18(2), 89–107.

Milanovic, B. (2016). Global inequality: A new approach for the age of globalization. Harvard University Press.

NBS. (2022). Labour force statistics: Nigeria unemployment report. National Bureau of Statistics.

Ogundiya, I. (2011). Political corruption, elite capture, and inequality in Nigeria. Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review, 1(3), 48–59.

Rauniyar, G., & Kanbur, R. (2010). Inclusive growth and development: Conceptual issues. Asian Development Review, 27(1), 63–84.

Sala-i-Martin, X., & Subramanian, A. (2003). Addressing the natural resource curse: An illustration from Nigeria. Journal of African Economies, 22(4), 307–343.

Sen, A. (1999). Development as freedom. Oxford University Press.

UNDP. (2022). Human Development Report. UNDP Publications.

UN Women. (2021). Gender equality and development in Nigeria. UN Women Reports.

World Bank. (2022). Nigeria development update. World Bank Publications.