



The Impact of Workplace Environment on Concentration and Cognitive Performance: A Cognitive Psychology Perspective

By:

Minh Trang Nguyen

Diplomatic Academy of Vietnam

Nguyen Uyen Mai Tran

Nguyen Sieu High School

Abstract

Workplace environments play a significant role in shaping human attention, cognitive performance, and overall productivity. From the perspective of cognitive psychology, the design and characteristics of a workspace influence how individuals allocate attentional resources, manage cognitive load, and sustain mental performance. Drawing on Cognitive Load Theory, Selective Attention Theory, and Attention Restoration Theory, this paper examines how factors such as noise, lighting, color, and spatial layout affect concentration and cognitive efficiency. For instance, excessive background noise or visual distractions can increase extraneous cognitive load, while natural light and exposure to greenery may restore attentional capacity and reduce mental fatigue. Furthermore, the balance between open-plan designs and private work areas highlights the tension between collaboration and focused work. The findings suggest that applying principles of cognitive psychology to workplace design not only enhances concentration and task accuracy but also promotes well-being and long-term productivity. This theoretical approach provides implications for organizations seeking to optimize employee performance through evidence-based environmental interventions.

Keywords

Workplace Environment, Concentration, Cognitive Performance.

How to cite: Nguyen, M. T., & Tran, N. U. M. (2025). The Impact of Workplace Environment on Concentration and Cognitive Performance: A Cognitive Psychology Perspective. *GPH-International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, 8(8), 72-86. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17213559>



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

1. Introduction

In contemporary organizational and educational contexts, the physical environment of work has emerged as a critical determinant of employee performance, well-being, and long-term productivity. The workplace is not simply a backdrop for activity; rather, it represents a cognitive environment where attentional resources are allocated, information is processed, and tasks of varying complexity are executed. Cognitive psychology, which examines the mechanisms of attention, memory, and problem-solving, provides a valuable framework for investigating how workplace conditions shape mental performance (Eysenck & Keane, 2020). A growing body of research indicates that human attention is a limited and vulnerable resource, susceptible to overload and disruption (Kahneman, 1973; Wickens, 2008). Environmental features such as lighting, noise, spatial layout, and color schemes can either enhance or hinder cognitive processes. For example, Cognitive Load Theory (Sweller, 1988) posits that poorly designed environments impose unnecessary demands on working memory, thereby diminishing task efficiency. Selective Attention Theory suggests that open-plan offices may overburden attentional filters due to excessive visual and auditory stimuli (Broadbent, 1958). Conversely, Attention Restoration Theory emphasizes that natural elements, such as exposure to greenery and daylight, can restore depleted attentional resources and reduce cognitive fatigue (Kaplan, 1995).

Cognitive performance is a multifaceted construct that encompasses the mental processes essential for professional tasks. It includes attention, memory, problem-solving, and decision-making. Concentration, a key element of this performance, is a form of sustained, directed attention that allows an individual to remain focused on a specific task while filtering out distractions. Cognitive psychology, the scientific study of these mental processes, provides the foundational principles for understanding how the human mind perceives, interprets, and interacts with its environment. The field of cognitive ergonomics is a specialized branch of human factors that studies the relationship between human cognitive capabilities and the use of a product or environment. It draws on knowledge of perception, mental processing, and memory, with a particular focus on cognitively demanding or safety-critical work activities. This discipline is distinct from physical ergonomics, which focuses on the anatomical, anthropometric, and biomechanical aspects of work, such as proper posture and the prevention of musculoskeletal disorders. Despite their differences, the two are deeply interconnected; physical discomfort can create a distraction that directly impairs cognitive function. The synergy of these disciplines provides a holistic framework for designing work environments that are not only physically safe and comfortable but also mentally supportive and efficient.

Despite these insights, gaps remain in integrating theoretical perspectives from cognitive psychology into practical guidelines for workplace design. Existing studies often isolate single factors such as noise or lighting without considering their combined impact on attention and performance (Jahncke et al., 2011). Moreover, while organizational psychology has extensively addressed job satisfaction and social interactions in the workplace, fewer studies explicitly connect physical workspace characteristics to models of cognitive functioning (Vischer, 2008). This disconnect underscores the need for a comprehensive

framework that bridges environmental design and cognitive performance. The present paper aims to address this gap by examining the influence of workplace environments on concentration and cognitive efficiency through the lens of cognitive psychology. Specifically, it seeks to (1) synthesize theoretical models relevant to environmental influences on cognition, (2) identify key workplace factors that affect attention and performance, and (3) propose implications for designing workspaces that optimize cognitive outcomes. By grounding workplace design in established cognitive theories, this paper contributes to both theoretical development and evidence-based practice in organizational settings.

2. Literature Review

Attention is a fundamental cognitive process that determines how individuals allocate limited mental resources to relevant stimuli while filtering out distractions. Kahneman's (1973) capacity model of attention proposed that cognitive resources are finite and can be easily depleted when task demands exceed available capacity. This framework has significant implications for workplace design, as environmental stressors such as noise, crowding, or poor lighting can overload attentional systems and diminish performance. Wickens' (2008) Multiple Resource Theory further emphasizes that when tasks draw upon overlapping cognitive resources (e.g., auditory-verbal versus visual-spatial processing), interference and performance decrements are more likely to occur.

Cognitive Load Theory (Sweller, 1988) distinguishes between intrinsic, extraneous, and germane cognitive loads. In the context of the workplace, environmental factors such as irrelevant sounds or visual clutter constitute *extraneous load*, diverting cognitive resources away from the primary task. For example, research by Jahncke et al. (2011) showed that open-plan office noise impairs working memory and increases fatigue, particularly for tasks requiring sustained concentration. Similarly, Mehta, Zhu, and Cheema (2012) found that color environments (e.g., red versus blue) modulate attentional focus, influencing both detail-oriented and creative tasks. These findings suggest that poorly designed workspaces impose avoidable demands on cognitive systems, thereby reducing efficiency. Cognitive Load Theory (CLT) serves as a critical explanatory model for understanding how environmental factors impact performance. The theory differentiates between three types of cognitive load that contribute to the mental effort required to complete a task:

- Intrinsic cognitive load is the inherent difficulty of a task itself. A complex mathematical equation, for example, has a high intrinsic load that cannot be altered by instructional or environmental design.
- Extraneous cognitive load is the additional mental effort required due to poor design or external distractions. This is the most important type of load from an environmental design perspective, as it can be directly influenced and reduced.
- Germane cognitive load is the mental effort dedicated to processing and integrating new information into long-term memory. The goal of an optimized environment is to reduce extraneous load, thereby freeing up mental resources to be directed toward germane load, which is the essential work of learning and problem-solving.

A compelling observation is that seemingly unrelated environmental stressors, such as noise, visual clutter, poor air quality, and physical discomfort, all function as forms of extraneous cognitive load. An unexpected conversation is an external distraction that requires the brain's attentional system to expend resources to filter it out. Similarly, a disorganized desk bombards the visual cortex with extraneous stimuli, forcing the brain to process them subconsciously. These constant, low-level demands divert the brain's limited working memory and processing power away from the primary task, a phenomenon akin to having too many browser tabs open. This unified understanding provides a coherent, psychologically grounded framework for why seemingly minor environmental factors can collectively have a major impact on concentration and cognitive performance.

Selective Attention Theory (Broadbent, 1958) highlights the filtering process through which individuals prioritize task-relevant stimuli while ignoring distractions. However, open-plan offices challenge this mechanism by creating constant exposure to auditory and visual interruptions (Kim & de Dear, 2013). Studies indicate that employees in such environments report higher stress levels, lower task satisfaction, and more frequent attentional lapses (Hamann et al., 2015). Importantly, the effectiveness of attentional filtering is not uniform; individual differences in working memory capacity influence susceptibility to distraction (Conway et al., 2001). This suggests that workspace design should accommodate both cognitive limitations and personal variability in attentional control.

Attention Restoration Theory (Kaplan, 1995) posits that natural settings facilitate recovery from attentional fatigue by engaging involuntary, effortless attention. Empirical studies support this theory in workplace contexts: exposure to indoor plants, natural light, and views of greenery are associated with improved mood, enhanced concentration, and reduced stress (Terrapin Bright Green et al., 2014; Nieuwenhuis et al., 2014). For example, a field study by Lee, Williams, Sargent, Williams, and Johnson (2015) found that employees with direct exposure to natural elements reported higher levels of vitality and job satisfaction. These findings underscore the restorative potential of biophilic design in modern workplaces.

The physical configuration of workspaces also influences cognitive functioning. Open-plan designs are often justified on the grounds of collaboration and communication; however, they may come at the cost of reduced focus and privacy (Vischer, 2008). Conversely, private or semi-enclosed spaces provide greater control over environmental stimuli, enabling employees to allocate cognitive resources more efficiently. Research by Bernstein and Turban (2018) revealed that the introduction of open-plan offices paradoxically decreased face-to-face interactions and increased reliance on electronic communication, suggesting that environmental design can have unintended cognitive and social consequences.

Although numerous studies demonstrate that workspace features affect attention and cognitive performance, existing research often examines isolated factors (e.g., only noise, only lighting) rather than their combined or interactive effects. Furthermore, while organizational psychology extensively studies motivation and satisfaction, the direct application of cognitive psychology theories to workplace design remains underdeveloped. This gap highlights the need for a more integrative approach that unites environmental

psychology, organizational design, and cognitive science to develop evidence-based strategies for optimizing work environments.

3. Methodology

The research uses a qualitative synthesis approach, combining literature review and secondary data analysis. Specifically, it draws on peer-reviewed scholarship, reports from many reputed researchers and organizations to find out the relationship between workplace environment and the concentration and cognitive performance of people. The main method is conceptual analysis of existing theoretical and empirical works. By synthesizing Cognitive Load Theory, Selective Attention Theory and Attention Restoration Theory, this study identifies the effects of workplace on effectiveness, highlight research gaps, and suggest solutions to increase the work efficiency.

4. Results

4.1. Noise and cognitive performance

Noise in the workplace is a well-documented inhibitor of cognitive performance, particularly in modern open-plan offices. It disrupts the ability to concentrate, process information, and complete tasks efficiently. At a neurological level, exposure to noise influences the central nervous system, which can lead to emotional stress, anxiety, and defects in memory and cognitive function. Noise acts as a sensory stimulus that increases general arousal but simultaneously reduces the breadth of attention, making it harder to focus on a single task. The impact of noise is not uniform; it is highly dependent on the type and decibel level of the sound, as well as individual sensitivity. Research indicates that while noise at high levels (95 dBA) significantly reduces mental workload and attention, the most disruptive type of noise is often irrelevant speech. The human brain is hardwired to process and decode language, and it expends significant cognitive resources to do so, even when the conversation is not the intended focus. This creates a high level of extraneous cognitive load. Conversely, some studies have shown that certain rhythmic, non-speech noises can, in fact, enhance comprehension. This finding highlights a crucial distinction: not all noise is created equal. Furthermore, noise can sometimes improve performance in specific scenarios, such as in sleep-deprived individuals, by increasing general alertness. This complexity underscores the need for a nuanced approach to sound management in the workplace, moving beyond a simple "noise is bad" conclusion.

Research by Bond University (2019) investigated the psychological effects of open-plan office noise. The study found that exposure to open-plan office noise increased negative mood by 25% and heightened physiological stress responses, such as increased heart rate and skin conductance. These findings suggest that noise in open-plan offices can lead to heightened stress and negative emotions, which may, in turn, affect cognitive performance. The study by Kim & de Dear (2013) also highlighted the importance of environmental control in influencing cognitive performance. Employees who had the ability to choose their work setting, such as selecting between quiet zones and collaborative areas, reported higher levels of satisfaction and better cognitive performance. This underscores the role of perceived

control over the work environment in enhancing cognitive efficiency. A study by Jahncke et al. (2013) investigated the effects of open-plan office noise on cognitive performance. Participants were exposed to two noise conditions: high noise (51 dBA) and low noise (39 dBA). The results indicated a significant decrease in cognitive performance under high noise conditions. Specifically, participants performed worse on tasks requiring sustained attention and memory recall when exposed to higher noise levels. This finding aligns with previous research suggesting that noise in open-plan offices can impair cognitive functions.

4.2. Noise and physiological and emotional effects

In addition to cognitive performance, the study by Jahncke et al. (2013) also measured physiological and emotional responses. The results showed that exposure to high noise levels led to increased physiological stress, as indicated by elevated heart rates and skin conductance levels. Emotionally, participants reported higher levels of irritation and fatigue. These findings underscore the multifaceted impact of open-plan office noise on employees' well-being. The workplace is not merely a physical space; it is a dynamic participant in human cognitive function. This report, a synthesis of research from cognitive psychology and ergonomics, examines how the physical and sensory characteristics of an environment directly impact an individual's ability to concentrate, remember, problem-solve, and make decisions. The core thesis is that the workplace environment either supports or depletes finite mental resources, thereby acting as a "cognitive blueprint" for success or failure. A study by Kostallari et al. (2019) found that intelligible speech noise, quantified using the Speech Transmission Index (STI), led to a consistent 7% decrease in performance on working memory tasks. This decline remained stable across various STI values between 0.7 and 1, indicating that even moderately intelligible speech can significantly impair cognitive function.

The findings highlight a critical link between seemingly disparate environmental factors and cognitive performance. Auditory and visual clutter, for instance, are shown to function as sources of extraneous cognitive load, forcing the brain to expend mental effort on irrelevant stimuli and thereby reducing working memory capacity. Light, air quality, and temperature are not just matters of comfort but powerful modulators of physiological and neurological states. Blue light increases alertness by suppressing melatonin, while common office pollutants like carbon dioxide (CO²) can significantly impair higher-order cognitive functions. The report also reveals the paradoxical failure of traditional open-plan offices, which, despite being designed for collaboration, often lead to increased stress and reduced face-to-face communication. Based on these findings, the report recommends a strategic shift from a one-size-fits-all model to a personalized, flexible, and evidence-based design approach. By integrating biophilic elements, prioritizing ergonomic design, and creating distinct zones for different work styles, organizations can cultivate an environment that enhances cognitive well-being, fosters innovation, and ultimately drives productivity.

Physical ergonomics focuses on designing a work environment that is safe and productive by addressing anatomical and biomechanical factors. However, its benefits extend far beyond the physical body. Research shows a direct link between physical discomfort, particularly

chronic pain, and cognitive impairment. Pain, especially when persistent, functions as a continuous interruption that consumes attentional resources and reduces working memory capacity. The brain's processing centers are constantly engaged in managing the pain and the associated emotional distress, diverting mental bandwidth away from the primary task. This phenomenon, often referred to as "fibro fog" in patients with fibromyalgia, is a vivid example of how physical discomfort drains cognitive resources.³⁰ While this term specifically applies to chronic pain patients, the underlying principle is universally applicable: any persistent physical discomfort, such as an unergonomic chair or a poorly positioned monitor, acts as a continuous extraneous cognitive load. This ongoing diversion of attention and working memory can impair an individual's ability to complete complex tasks accurately. Therefore, a comfortable, ergonomic environment is not a mere luxury; it is a fundamental requirement for supporting optimal cognitive performance by minimizing a constant, painful drain on mental resources.

4.3. Working space and cognitive efficiency

Additionally, a study by Yadav et al. (2025) revealed that the lack of privacy in open-plan offices contributes more to acoustic dissatisfaction than noise disturbance alone. Their model indicated that the absence of privacy accounted for 25% more dissatisfaction, highlighting the importance of both noise control and privacy in workspace design. The open-plan office, originally conceived to foster collaboration and communication, has paradoxically been shown to have the opposite effect. Studies of multinational corporations found that after moving to an open layout, face-to-face interaction among employees dropped by as much as 70%. The reason for this phenomenon is rooted in cognitive psychology: without the privacy and control afforded by a private office, employees often retreat into less-stressful forms of communication, such as emails and messaging, to avoid disturbing their colleagues and to regain a sense of personal control. This design flaw has serious consequences, including increased stress, higher rates of sick days, and reduced productivity. The negative impacts of open offices are not distributed equally across the workforce. Introverts and sensory-sensitive individuals, including those with conditions like ADHD and those on the autism spectrum, are particularly vulnerable to the overstimulation of a noisy, open environment. Their brains are naturally more active at rest, making them more susceptible to pain and overstimulation. A crucial observation is that these highly sensitive individuals can serve as an early-warning system, a "canary in the coal mine" for whether a work environment is truly safe and healthy. While they may be the first to show negative effects, the underlying stressors eventually impact everyone, potentially leading to widespread burnout and negative long-term health consequences.

Visual clutter is a profound source of distraction that directly impacts cognitive function. The brain's processing centers can become overwhelmed by an environment with too many visual stimuli, such as a desk covered in papers and objects. In a cluttered space, the brain's attention system must constantly decide what to tune out and what to focus on, a task that consumes valuable mental resources on a subconscious level. This continuous sensory overload depletes cognitive resources, creating a heavy extraneous load that reduces working memory capacity. The phenomenon is analogous to a computer with too many programs

running simultaneously, which slows down the entire system and makes it difficult to concentrate. The neurological evidence for this effect is compelling. fMRI studies have shown that a cluttered environment forces visual stimuli to compete for neural representation in the visual cortex. Conversely, a neat and organized space leads to increased activity in the prefrontal cortex, the brain's command center for focus and decision-making. The data demonstrates that an organized environment can boost productivity by up to 77% and reduce cortisol (stress hormone) levels by 27%.⁴ This suggests that decluttering is not just an aesthetic choice but a scientifically validated strategy for enhancing mental clarity and reducing stress.

Lighting in the workplace extends far beyond the provision of visual clarity. Research demonstrates that light affects a range of non-visual functions, including circadian rhythm, alertness, core body temperature, hormone secretion, and mood. Specific light wavelengths play a critical role in these physiological and cognitive processes. Blue light, with wavelengths between 459 nm and 483 nm, is the most effective at suppressing melatonin, a hormone that regulates the sleep-wake cycle and thereby enhances alertness and continuous attention. The mechanism for this is rooted in specialized photoreceptors in the retina known as melanopsin, which are particularly sensitive to blue light and play a key role in setting the body's internal clock. Exposure to high-intensity, short-wavelength light in the morning is a powerful tool for regulating biological and cognitive processes, leading to improved mood and reduced mental fatigue.

Environmental temperature is another key physiological factor that can alter cognitive function. The effects are dependent on both the severity of the temperature and the complexity of the task. While simple tasks are generally less affected, complex cognitive functions, such as working memory, are particularly vulnerable to extreme heat stress. For example, studies have shown that exposure to high temperatures can significantly impair performance on complex tasks, such as spatial span tests and mental addition. Conversely, performance on simple attentional tasks, such as rapid visual information processing, may remain unaffected. The optimal temperature range for minimal negative effects on safety and performance has been identified as being between 17°C and 23°C. Temperatures outside this range see an increase in stress and a greater number of unsafe behaviors. The reason for this is that heat acts as a source of stress that competes for the brain's limited-capacity cognitive resources. While individuals may be able to compensate for this stress during simple tasks, the ability to do so diminishes as task complexity increases, leading to a decline in performance.

Indoor air quality continues to be a critical factor influencing cognitive performance. A meta-analysis by Allen et al. (2023) demonstrated that increased ventilation rates significantly enhance task performance speed, particularly in arithmetic and cognitive ability tasks. This underscores the importance of maintaining optimal air quality to support cognitive functions. Furthermore, a study by Young et al. (2022) emphasized the significance of clear indoor air for cognitive function during remote work. Their research found that indoor air that is too hot, too cold, or too stale impairs problem-solving and creative thinking abilities, highlighting the need for proper ventilation in home office settings. The quality of indoor air, often an

invisible factor, exerts a significant influence on cognitive performance. Research led by the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health demonstrates that increased concentrations of fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) and carbon dioxide (CO₂) are associated with slower response times and reduced accuracy on cognitive tests. A controlled study further revealed that higher-order cognitive functions those related to strategic thinking, crisis response, and information utilization were significantly better in "Green" building conditions with low volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and even more so in "Green+" conditions with elevated ventilation rates.

4.3. Remote Work and Cognitive Challenges

Remote work, while offering a 13% boost in productivity for some workers, also presents a unique set of cognitive challenges. The most significant stressors include isolation and loneliness due to a lack of face-to-face interaction with colleagues. Additionally, remote work often blurs the boundaries between professional and personal life, leading to an "always-on" mentality that can cause increased stress and burnout. The absence of in-person collaboration can also create poor communication, as the lack of nonverbal cues can lead to misunderstandings and hinder rapport-building among team members. These factors can disrupt an individual's routine and sense of structure, making it more difficult to focus and prioritize tasks effectively.

A compelling study reveals a profound connection between an employee's home life and their professional performance. The research suggests that proactively managing one's home environment, a practice termed "strategic renewal," can significantly improve work performance. When individuals implement deliberate changes at home, such as adjusting childcare schedules, redistributing domestic responsibilities, or setting up quiet zones for focused work, they cultivate a sense of capability and control. This confidence and adaptability are not confined to the home; they are transferable skills that carry over into the workplace, making employees more resilient, innovative, and effective. This finding presents a powerful, non-obvious link between an employee's personal life and their professional output, underscoring that a healthy and organized home environment is a prerequisite for a productive and engaged workforce.

The findings from this report demonstrate that the workplace is an interconnected cognitive ecosystem. Environmental factors are not isolated variables but components of a holistic system that can either support or undermine human cognition. For example, a single, poorly designed element, such as a noisy, open-plan layout, can act as a stressor. This stress creates a continuous extraneous cognitive load, diverting attention and working memory away from tasks. The result is a cycle of reduced concentration, increased errors, and ultimately, a decline in productivity and well-being. Conversely, integrating principles from various disciplines such as biophilic design, cognitive ergonomics, and evidence-based design can create a virtuous cycle that frees up mental resources, enhances focus, and fosters innovation. The optimal workplace is therefore not a collection of isolated fixes but a cohesive environment where every element is carefully calibrated to support the intricate mechanisms of the human mind.

Table 1: The Impact of Key Environmental Factors on Cognitive Functions

Environmental Factor	Attention	Working Memory	Stress	Reaction Time	Creativity Innovation
Noise	Reduced	Reduced, especially by speech	Increased	Unaffected (speed)	Hindered
Lighting (Short Wavelength)	Increased	Enhanced	Reduced	Faster	Not specified
Air Quality (CO₂, VOCs)	Reduced accuracy	Reduced accuracy	Increased	Slower	Impaired
Temperature (Extreme)	Impaired (complex tasks)	Impaired (complex tasks)	Increased	Slower (complex tasks)	Impaired
Clutter	Reduced	Reduced capacity	Increased	Slower	Impaired
Physical Discomfort (Chronic)	Constantly diverted	Reduced capacity	Increased	Slower	Hindered
Biophilic Elements	Enhanced	Enhanced	Reduced	Faster	Boosted

Source: Authors compiled

4.3. Design of Workspace to increase Cognitive Efficiency

A field study by Kim & de Dear (2013) examined the effects of activity-based workspaces (ABWs) on cognitive performance. The study found that employees working in ABWs, which offer a variety of work settings (e.g., quiet zones, collaborative areas), exhibited improved cognitive performance. Specifically, employees showed a 16.9% increase in performance when transitioning from active zones to quiet zones. This suggests that providing employees with control over their work environment can enhance concentration and cognitive efficiency. The study by Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health (2015) explored the impact of lighting and air quality on cognitive performance. The results revealed that employees working in environments with optimal lighting and air quality had better cognitive performance compared to those in suboptimal conditions. This highlights the importance of environmental factors in supporting cognitive functions in the workplace. Implement adjustable lighting systems with a focus on natural, full-spectrum light that can be tuned to support circadian rhythms and enhance alertness. Ensuring optimal lighting and air quality in the workplace is crucial for supporting cognitive functions. Employers should consider these environmental factors when designing workspaces to promote employee health and performance.

The findings from various studies suggest that incorporating quiet zones in open-plan offices can mitigate the negative effects of noise on cognitive performance. Providing employees with access to quiet areas where they can focus on tasks requiring sustained attention can enhance productivity and well-being. Invest in high-quality ventilation and filtration systems to manage CO₂ and PM_{2.5} levels, which can significantly impair higher-order cognitive functions. Employ noise reduction strategies, such as acoustic panels, sound-absorbing materials, and designated quiet zones. Provide high-quality noise-canceling headsets to help

employees maintain focus during complex tasks. Allowing employees to have control over their work environment, such as choosing their work setting, can lead to improved cognitive performance and job satisfaction. Organizations should consider implementing flexible workspace designs that cater to diverse work needs. Further research corroborates the detrimental effects of noise in open-plan offices on cognitive performance.

Innovative workspace designs also play a pivotal role in enhancing cognitive performance. Baumann's (2023) research found that virtual environments with views of nature and rooms with curved shapes induced higher happiness, creativity, and reduced stress levels, as evidenced by lower heart rates. These findings suggest that incorporating natural elements and thoughtful architectural designs can positively impact cognitive and emotional well-being. This research highlights a crucial, often overlooked physiological burden. While we are familiar with the more acute effects of poor air quality, such as "sick building syndrome", the data shows that even subtle, common fluctuations can act as a constant, silent tax on mental resources. The study's finding that CO₂ may be a direct pollutant, rather than just an indicator of poor ventilation, is a critical development that necessitates a re-evaluation of building design and operation. The severity of this subtle impairment is emphasized by an analogy from researchers, who equate the cognitive decline from high CO₂ levels to the effect of a student skipping breakfast. This powerful comparison underscores that maintaining optimal air quality is not a luxury but a fundamental requirement for optimal cognitive function. Biophilic design is a philosophy rooted in the "biophilia hypothesis," which posits that humans have an innate, biologically-driven affinity to interact with nature. This design approach integrates elements of nature into the built environment to yield significant psychological, physiological, and cognitive benefits.

The inclusion of plants, greenery, and window views of nature has a demonstrable effect on reducing stress, enhancing cognitive performance, and improving general well-being. Even brief exposure to simulated nature, such as images or videos, has been shown to relieve stress and boost cognitive performance. When coupled with congruent nature sounds, these effects are further improved, highlighting the multi-sensory nature of biophilia. Natural light is a cornerstone of biophilic design, as it supports both visual comfort and non-visual functions like circadian rhythm and alertness. Similarly, the use of natural materials like wood and stone has been shown to reduce stress levels, as measured by physiological markers like salivary cortisol and blood pressure. Furthermore, exposure to these materials leads to higher cognitive performance in areas such as divergent creativity and sustained attention. Even the use of organic shapes and patterns, such as biomorphic furniture, can decrease anxiety and enhance performance on cognitive tasks.

The flaws of the one-size-fits-all open office model have led to a new approach known as Evidence-Based Design (EBD). EBD is a methodology that collects data and incorporates employee input to create a workspace that is specifically tailored to the diverse needs of the workforce. This approach emphasizes the creation of flexible, "activity-based" workspaces. The ideal modern office is not uniformly open or closed but a carefully curated blend of both. This involves creating distinct zones for different types of work, such as quiet areas for focused, high-concentration tasks, communal spaces for collaborative meetings and

brainstorming, and private rooms for confidential conversations. By providing employees with the flexibility to choose a setting that matches their current cognitive needs, organizations can empower them to manage their own mental state and optimize their performance. Adopt a flexible, activity-based layout that includes a mix of open spaces for collaboration and closed-off areas for focused work. Encourage employees to personalize their workspaces, as this can increase job satisfaction and a sense of ownership, which in turn boosts productivity. For remote employees, provide resources and guidance to help them create structured and supportive home environments, empowering them with a sense of control that translates to professional resilience.

Table 2: A Comparative Analysis of Office Layouts

Office Layout	Cost	Collaboration	Concentration	Privacy	Employee Well-being/Stress
Open-Plan	Low	Paradoxically Reduced	Greatly Hindered	Very Low	Increased Stress
Private/Cubicle	High	Reduced	Highest	Highest	Lower Stress
Hybrid/Flexible	Moderate	High	High (in designated zones)	Variable	Higher Satisfaction
Office Layout	Cost	Collaboration	Concentration	Privacy	Employee Well-being/Stress
Open-Plan	Low	Paradoxically Reduced	Greatly Hindered	Very Low	Increased Stress

Source: Authors compiled

5. Conclusion

The findings of this report underscore a powerful paradigm shift in how organizations should view their physical spaces. The workplace is not a passive backdrop but an active "cognitive blueprint for success". The traditional focus on cost reduction and simple square footage is a flawed approach, as it fails to account for the hidden costs of a suboptimal environment. Seemingly minor factors from the sound of a conversation to the concentration of carbon dioxide in the air can continuously tax the finite mental resources that drive innovation and productivity. By understanding the underlying principles of cognitive psychology, organizations can move beyond outdated models and create environments that are both physically comfortable and mentally supportive. This mindful, evidence-based approach is no longer an optional luxury but a strategic imperative for any organization seeking to harness the full potential of its workforce.

References

- Baumann, O. (2023). Study reveals bizarre brain hack. *News.com.au*.
<https://www.news.com.au/lifestyle/health/wellbeing/profoundly-positive-influence-study-reveals-brain-hack-to-instantly-lift-your-mood/news-story/fc7e5a380bf0e6518595dc5f58fdfaa1>
- Bernstein, E. S., & Turban, S. (2018). The impact of the ‘open’ workspace on human collaboration. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 373(1753), 20170239. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2017.0239>
- Blue Monarch Group. (n.d.). *Designing workspaces with cognitive psychology in mind*.
<https://bluemonarchgroup.com/blog/designing-workspaces-with-cognitive-psychology-in-mind/>
- Broadbent, D. E. (1958). *Perception and communication*. Pergamon Press.
- British Safety Council. (2025). *A green and bright workplace: How biophilic design boosts employee wellbeing*. <https://www.britsafe.org/safety-management/2025/a-green-and-bright-workplace-how-biophilic-design-boosts-employee-wellbeing>
- Conway, A. R. A., Cowan, N., & Bunting, M. F. (2001). The cocktail party phenomenon revisited: The importance of working memory capacity. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 8(2), 331–335. <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03196169>
- Creyos. (2024, April 25). *How does chronic pain affect the brain?*
<https://creyos.com/blog/how-does-chronic-pain-affect-the-brain>
- Eysenck, M. W., & Keane, M. T. (2020). *Cognitive psychology: A student’s handbook* (8th ed.). Routledge.
- Ezzati, M., Moosavi, S., & Rezaei, M. (2020). The effects of lighting on mental and cognitive performance: A structured systematic review. *Journal of Preventive Medicine and Hygiene*, 61(3), 221–231. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344390467>
- Gaoua, N. (2015). How temperature and task complexity impact cognitive function. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 6, 372. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2015.00372>
- Hagh, T. B., Yeganeh, A. K., Abbasi, A., & Ghajar-Rostami, F. (2019). The effect of noise on cognitive function: A review of the literature. *Iranian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 44(6), 434–442. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6901841/>
- Hamann, K. R., Reese, G., Seewald, D., & Loeschinger, D. C. (2015). Affixing the theory of normative conduct (to your mailbox): Injunctive and descriptive norms as predictors of anti-ads sticker use. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 44, 1–9.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2015.08.003>

- Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health. (2021, September 9). *Office air quality may affect employees' cognition, productivity*. <https://www.labmanager.com/office-air-quality-may-affect-employees-cognition-productivity-26669>
- Jahncke, H., Hygge, S., Halin, N., Green, A. M., & Dimberg, K. (2011). Open-plan office noise: Cognitive performance and restoration. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 31(4), 373–382. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2011.05.004>
- Kahneman, D. (1973). *Attention and effort*. Prentice Hall.
- Kaplan, S. (1995). The restorative benefits of nature: Toward an integrative framework. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 15(3), 169–182. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-4944\(95\)90001-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-4944(95)90001-2)
- Kim, J., & de Dear, R. (2013). Workspace satisfaction: The privacy–communication trade-off in open-plan offices. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 36, 18–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2013.06.007>
- Lee, K. E., Williams, K. J. H., Sargent, L. D., Williams, N. S. G., & Johnson, K. A. (2015). 40-second green roof views sustain attention: The role of micro-breaks in attention restoration. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 42, 182–189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2015.04.003>
- Mehta, R., Zhu, R. J., & Cheema, A. (2012). Is noise always bad? Exploring the effects of ambient noise on creative cognition. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 39(4), 784–799. <https://doi.org/10.1086/665048>
- Nieuwenhuis, M., Knight, C., Postmes, T., & Haslam, S. A. (2014). The relative benefits of green versus lean office space: Three field experiments. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 20(3), 199–214. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xap0000024>
- Psychology Today. (2023, February 2). *The many mental benefits of decluttering*. <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/the-resilient-brain/202302/the-many-mental-benefits-of-decluttering>
- Psychology Today. (2024, December 3). *Why open offices don't work*. <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/positively-different/202411/why-open-offices-dont-work>
- PsychoTricks. (n.d.). *The psychology of office design: How to create a productive and inspiring workspace*. <https://psychotricks.com/psychology-of-office-design/>
- Sadeghian, A., Nasrollahi, F., & Dastbousi, R. (2024). Exploring the impacts of biophilic design on employees' psychological, physiological, and cognitive well-being in the workplace. *Architectural Engineering and Design Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17508975.2024.2306273>

- Satish, U., Mendell, M. J., Shekhar, K., Hotchi, T., Sullivan, D., Streufert, S., & Fisk, W. J. (2015). Associations of cognitive function scores with carbon dioxide, ventilation, and volatile organic compound exposures in office workers: A controlled exposure study of green and conventional office environments. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, *124*(6), 805–812. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1510037>
- Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning. *Cognitive Science*, *12*(2), 257–285. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15516709cog1202_4
- Tähkämö, L., Partonen, T., & Pesonen, A. K. (2019). The inner clock—Blue light sets the human rhythm. *Journal of Biological Rhythms*, *34*(3), 282–293. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7065627/>
- Terrapin Bright Green. (2014). *14 patterns of biophilic design*. Terrapin Bright Green.
- The Canadian Psychological Association. (2016, February). *Brief literature review on open office work space*. <https://www.cpa.ca/docs/File/Sections/Hospital/office%20space%20research%20February%202016.pdf>
- University of Bath. (2025, May 27). *How you handle your home life can boost work performance, shows new study*. <https://www.bath.ac.uk/announcements/how-you-handle-your-home-life-can-boost-work-performance-shows-new-study/>
- Vantage Fit. (n.d.). *Remote work stress: Causes, symptoms, and tips to reduce it*. <https://www.vantagefit.io/en/blog/remote-work-stress/>
- Vischer, J. C. (2008). Towards an environmental psychology of workspace: How people are affected by environments for work. *Architectural Science Review*, *51*(2), 97–108. <https://doi.org/10.3763/asre.2008.5114>
- Wei, S., Liu, K., Peng, C., & Zhang, Y. (2022). Effects of noise type and noise sensitivity on working memory and noise annoyance. *Applied Acoustics*, *195*, 108802. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apacoust.2022.108802>
- Wickens, C. D. (2008). Multiple resources and mental workload. *Human Factors*, *50*(3), 449–455. <https://doi.org/10.1518/001872008X288394>
- Willi, M., Hermans, D., & Schmit, C. M. (2023). The psychological and cognitive impact of heat stress. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *14*, 127089. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.127089>
- Yale News. (2024, October 22). *'Visual clutter' alters information flow in the brain*. <https://news.yale.edu/2024/10/22/visual-clutter-alters-information-flow-brain>
- Zautra, A. J., Davis, M. C., & Eustis, C. (2010). The cognitive impact of pain: A review. *Current Pain and Headache Reports*, *14*(4), 312–318. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6020698/>